

Appln No. 10/613,166
Amdt date September 6, 2006
Reply to Office action of July 27, 2006

Amendments to the Specification:

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 7, line 17 with the following rewritten paragraph:

FIGs. 1B and 1C depict exemplary diagrams for an AEP system and process, according to one embodiment of the present invention. Common configuration files, source control 11 repositories, and nightly build practices using automatic build systems 12 are put in place in order to fully utilize error prevention practices and techniques. In most cases, the architect 13A or project manager 13B will have the responsibility of implementing an AEP infrastructure for a development group 13C or organization. The steps to performing this implementation include automating configuration files for automating the software development lifecycle in such a way that everyone on the team, from developers to project managers and architects, understands and uses AEP practices in the same way. Interaction with tools and practices need to be the same for each member of the team. This ensures consistent AEP practices and leaves no chance for operational differences to occur that allow errors to propagate.

Please replace the paragraph beginning on page 11, line 1 with the following rewritten paragraph:

As shown in FIG. 2B, the tool can be run (for example, "Run Jtest") with or without check-in thresholds pre-configured by the architect through the configuration files. Check-in thresholds stipulate that code can have only a certain number of coding errors, at a certain violation level, before it is rejected. To have a clean code, threshold levels need to be set to zero. Note that the gentleman's agreement is implied in the path from source code to source control. However, only by putting into place the tool/source control integration only good, clean code gets into the source code repository.